Article

Studies on the Total Synthesis of Lactonamycin: Synthesis of the CDEF Ring System

Hermut Wehlan,[†] Eva Jezek,[†] Nathalie Lebrasseur,[†] Grégoire Pavé,[†] Emmanuel Roulland,[†] Andrew J. P. White,[†] Jeremy N. Burrows,[‡] and Anthony G. M. Barrett^{*,†}

Department of Chemistry, Imperial College London, South Kensington, London SW7 2AZ, England, and AstraZeneca, Sodertalje, S-151 85, Sweden

agm.barrett@imperial.ac.uk

Received June 28, 2006



A concise and efficient synthesis of the tetracyclic CDEF ring system of lactonamycin (1) is described. The key step involved the Lewis acid mediated, intramolecular Friedel–Crafts acylation of carboxylic acid 6 to produce the tetracyclic CDEF core structure of target 1. The synthesis of 6 was carried out using a high-yielding Negishi coupling of benzyl bromide 7 with triflate 8, which was accessible in 11 steps and 31% overall yield on a multigram scale starting from trihydroxy acid 9.

Introduction

Lactonamycin (1)¹ and lactonamycin-Z (2)² have intriguing structural features, which include a naphtho[*e*]isoindole ring system (EF-rings) and a densely oxygenated fused perhydrofuran-furanone ring system containing a labile tertiary methoxy group (AB-rings) (Figure 1). Both natural products contain a 2-deoxy sugar unit (1, α -L-rhodinopyranose; 2, α -L-2,6-dideoxyribopyranose) attached through a tertiary α -keto-glycosidic linkage. Lactonamycin (1) shows significant levels of antimicrobial activity toward Gram-positive bacteria, being especially effective against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) and vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* (VRE). In addition, lactonamycin (1) shows significant levels of cytotoxicity against various tumor cell lines.³



FIGURE 1. Structures of lactonamycin (1), lactonamycin-Z (2), and the aglycon lactonamycinone (3).

Four groups have reported synthetic studies directed toward the total synthesis of lactonamycin (1). Two different routes for the construction of model CDEF ring systems were reported by Danishefsky and Cox,⁴ and the Danishefsky group followed up these initial studies with the total synthesis of (\pm) lactonamycinone (3).⁵ Both Deville and Behar⁶ and Kelly and co-workers⁷ have published routes for the synthesis of the CDEF

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed. Phone: 44-207-594-5766. Fax: 44-207-594-5805.

[†] Imperial College London.

[‡] AstraZeneca.

⁽¹⁾ Matsumoto, N.; Tsuchida, T.; Nakamura, H.; Sawa, R.; Takahashi, Y.; Naganawa, H.; Iinuma, H.; Sawa, T.; Takeuchi, T.; Shiro, M. J. Antibiot. **1999**, *52*, 276 and references therein.

⁽²⁾ Holtzel, A.; Dieter, A.; Schmid Dietmar, G.; Brown, R.; Goodfellow, M.; Beil, W.; Jung, G.; Fiedler, H.-P. J. Antibiot. **2003**, *56*, 1058.

⁽³⁾ Matsumoto, N.; Tsuchida, T.; Maruyama, M.; Kinoshita, N.; Homma, Y.; Iinuma, H.; Sawa, T.; Hamada, M.; Takeuchi, T.; Heida, N.; Yoshioka, T. *J. Antibiot.* **1999**, *52*, 269.

⁽⁴⁾ Cox, C.; Danishefsky, S. J. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 3493. Cox, C.; Danishefsky, S. J. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 2899.

SCHEME 1. Retrosynthetic Analysis of the Model CDEF Tetracyclic Target 4



ring system.⁶ More recently the Kelly group has described a model asymmetric synthesis of the AB ring system.⁸ Recently, we reported model studies on the synthesis of the ABCD ring system of lactonamycin.⁹ This study highlighted the use of several iterative Michael addition reactions and oxidations to construct the oxygenated lactone entity. Retrosynthetically, we considered that the CDEF ring system **4** should be available using an intramolecular Friedel–Crafts acylation approach (Scheme 1). In this analysis, we considered that tetracycle **4** should be obtained by oxidation of hydroquinone **5**, which in turn should be available from carboxylic acid **6**. Acid **6** should be derived from triflate **8** using a Pd(0)-catalyzed Negishi coupling with benzyl bromide **7**. We considered that triflate **8** should be accessible from 2,4,6-trihydroxybenzoic acid, whereas bromide **7** should be easily available from *p*-methoxyphenol.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis of Lactam 8. Triflate **8** was synthesized on multigram scale from commercially available trihydroxy acid

9 in 11 steps (Scheme 2). Permethylation of acid 9 using dimethyl sulfate followed by a boron trichloride induced monodeprotection of the o-methyl ether afforded phenol 10 (77% over two steps).¹⁰ Subsequent Vilsmeier-Haack formylation gave aldehyde 11 (75%), which was oxidized to produce ester 12 using an excess of sodium cyanide and manganese dioxide (87%).¹¹ Scale-up of the oxidation reaction was problematic and only a low yield (45%) of 12 was obtained. Alternatively, a two-step oxidation sequence was used to convert aldehyde 11 into diester 12 using sodium chlorite as the oxidizing agent in the presence of sulfamic acid. This reaction required care in execution to prevent formation of the chlorinated phenol 16, which was formed in 37% yield when the reaction was carried out at 0-5 °C (Figure 2). Addition of the chlorine scavenger 2-methylbutene suppressed the formation of chloride 16 and afforded diester 12 in excellent yield (94% on a 10-g scale).

Condensation of phenol 12 with triflic anhydride followed by a palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling reaction with zinc cyanide¹² successfully gave nitrile **13** (90%). Cobalt chloride and sodium borohydride reductions of nitrile 13 resulted in the formation of lactam 14. However, yields of this reaction were only good (66%) on a small scale (500 mg) of nitrile 13, but were significantly reduced (30%) on a multigram scale. Conducting the reduction with sodium borohydride in the presence of trifluoroacetic acid (TFA)¹³ gave lactam 14 in slightly improved yield (41%). Neither the replacement of TFA by 2,2-difluoroacetic acid or acetic acid itself nor the replacement of cobalt by nickel¹⁴ or copper¹⁵ resulted in formation of the desired lactam 14 in acceptable yields. Alternatively, hydrogenation of nitrile 13 was investigated, and equimolar amounts of Adams catalyst16 in acetic acid and THF was found to result in a clean conversion to lactam 14 (94%). Notwithstanding this expense, the platinum was easily recycled in high yield and used repeatedly.17 Attempts to reduce the amount of the platinum catalyst to catalytic amounts were unsuccessful as were reductions with Raney nickel,18 palladium,19 and rhodium.²⁰ In these less successful reactions, the conversion of nitrile 13 to lactam 14 was very slow and side products, such as succinimide 17 and the mixed aminal 18, were formed (Figure 2).

Methylation of lactam 14 using iodomethane and sodium hydride in degassed DMF gave ether 15 (91%). This reaction also required care in execution to prevent the formation of succinimide 19a (Figure 2), a product formed through benzylic oxidation during prolonged reaction times in the presence of

- (10) Rossi, R.; Carpita, A.; Bellina, F.; Stabile, P.; Mannina, L. *Tetrahedron* **2003**, *59*, 2067.
- (11) Kuo, C.-W.; Fang, J.-M. Synth. Commun. 2001, 31, 877.
- (12) Tschaen, D. M.; Desmond, R.; King, A. O.; Fortin, M. C.; Pipik, B.; King, S.; Verhoeven, T. R. Synth. Commun. **1994**, *24*, 887.
- (13) Richardson, I. T. J. Med. Chem. 2004, 47, 744.
- (14) Khurana, J. M.; Kukreja, G. Synth. Commun. 2002, 32, 1265.
- (15) Satoh, T.; Suzuki, S. Tetrahedron Lett. **1969**, 10, 4555.
- (16) For a PtO_2 reduction of nitriles in $CHCl_3$, see: Secrist, J. A., III;
- Logue, M. W. J. Org. Chem. **1972**, 37, 335. (17) Vogel, A. I. A Text-book of Practical Organic Chemistry, 3rd ed.;
- Longman: London, 1956, p 470ff.
- (18) (a) Tobey, S. L.; Anslyn, E. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 10963.
 (b) Gowda, S.; Gowda, D. C. Tetrahedron 2002, 58, 2211.
- (19) Van Rompaey, K.; Van den Eynde, I.; De Kimpe, N.; Tourwe, N. *Tetrahedron* **2003**, *59*, 4421.
- (20) Galan, A.; Mendoza, J.; Prados, P.; Rojo, J.; Echavarren, A. M. J. Org. Chem. **1991**, *56*, 452.

⁽⁵⁾ Cox, C. D.; Siu, T.; Danishefsky, S. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 5625. Siu, T.; Cox, C. D.; Danishefsky, S. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 5629.

⁽⁶⁾ Deville, J. P.; Behar, V. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 1403.

⁽⁷⁾ Kelly, T. R.; Xu, D.; Martinez, G.; Wang, H. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 1527.

⁽⁸⁾ Kelly, T. R.; Xiaolu, C.; Tu, B.; Elliott, E. L.; Grossmann, G.; Laurent, P. Org. Lett. **2004**, *6*, 4953.

⁽⁹⁾ Henderson, D. A.; Collier, P. N.; Pave, G.; Rzepa, P.; White, A. J. P.; Burrows, J. N.; Barrett, A. G. M. *J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, *71*, 2434.

JOC Article

SCHEME 2. Synthesis of Triflate 8^a



^{*a*} Reagents and conditions: (a) Me₂SO₄, K₂CO₃, Me₂CO (84%). (b) BCl₃, CH₂Cl₂, -78 °C (91%). (c) POCl₃, DMF, 0 to 25 °C (75%). (d) NaClO₂, NH₂SO₃H, 2-methylbutene, THF, H₂O and DMSO. (e) DMF, KHCO₃, Me₂SO₄ (94% over two steps). (f) Tf₂O, pyridine, CH₂Cl₂ (95%). (g) Zn(CN)₂, Pd₂(dba)₃, dppf, DMF, 60 °C (95%). (h) PtO₂, THF, AcOH, H₂, 70 psi (94%). (i) NaH, MeI, DMF, 0 °C (91%). (j) BCl₃, CH₂Cl₂, -78 °C (82%). (k) PhNTf₂, NEt₃, CH₂Cl₂, reflux (92%).



FIGURE 2. Structures of minor side products formed in Scheme 2.

oxygen. Reaction of amide 15 with boron trichloride²¹ at -78°C gave the corresponding phenol (82%) remarkably as a single regioisomer, the structure of which was consistent with the low field position of the phenolic proton in the ¹H NMR spectrum [11.42 (s. 1H)] and was confirmed by the X-ray structure of imide 19b (vide infra). It is likely that the origin of this regioselectivity is the result of the closer proximity of the ester carbonyl-boron trichloride Lewis base-acid complex to the adjacent methyl ether than the corresponding lactam-boron trichloride complex due to the bicyclic ring fusion. Alternatively, the lactam-boron trichloride complex should be less Lewis acidic and therefore less reactive. Triflation of the phenol using *N*,*N*-ditriflylaniline and triethylamine in dichloromethane gave triflate 8 (92%). It is germane to mention that the success of this reaction was largely dependent on the concentration of the reaction mixture (0.3 M). In contrast, reaction at higher dilution was significantly slower and accompanied by extensive decomposition. In addition, attempted triflation using trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride was complicated by benzylic oxidation to

provide the fluorescent phthalimide derivative **19b**, the structure of which was confirmed by X-ray crystallography (see Supporting Information).

Construction of the CDEF Model Ring System. With triflate **8** in hand, the synthesis of the CDEF model ring system **25** was investigated (Scheme 3). Following the standard protocol for Negishi coupling,²² triflate **8** was allowed to react with 2,5-dimethoxybenzylzinc chloride (**20**) to give methyl ester **21** (94%). The methyl ester **21** was alternatively obtained in 65% unoptimized yield, when the organozinc compound **20** was prepared from the corresponding chloride using lithium naph-thalenide and zinc chloride.²³ Saponification of ester **21** with lithium hydroxide gave carboxylic acid **22** in 37–64% yield. The poor and varying yield was due to loss of material during purification by column chromatography and the low solubility of **22** in most common solvents.

With acid **22** in hand, the intramolecular Friedel–Crafts acylation was investigated. Attempted cyclization of acid **22** to produce tetracycle **23** using trifluoroacetic anhydride²⁴ was unsuccessful, resulting in the recovery of starting material. Attempts to achieve the intramolecular acylation using oxalyl chloride²⁵ or triflic anhydride in chloroform with or without pyridine also led to the formation of intractable mixtures of products along with recovered starting material. In contrast to these frustrating failures, polyphosphoric acid²⁶ (PPA) catalyzed intramolecular Friedel–Crafts acylation of acid **22** gave tetracycle **23** as a single product. Since phenol **23** was oxidatively unstable in air due to anthraquinone formation on the central

⁽²¹⁾ Carvalho, C. F.; Sargent, M. V. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1984, 227.

⁽²²⁾ Negishi, E.-i. In Handbook of Organopalladium Chemistry for Organic Synthesis 1; John Wiley & Sons Inc.: New York, 2002, p 229ff.

^{(23) 2,5-}Dimethoxybenzylzinc chloride (20) was prepared as described in the literature for other organic zinc reagents: Zhu, L.; Wehmeyer, R. M.; Riecke, R. D. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 1445.

^{(24) (}a) Nicoletti, T. M.; Raston, C. L.; Sarent, M. V. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 **1990**, 133. (b) Townsend, C. A.; Christensen, S. B.; Davis, S. G. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 **1988**, 839.

⁽²⁵⁾ Owton, W. M.; Brunavs, M.; Miles, M. V.; Dobson, D. R.; Steggles, D. J. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1995, 931.

⁽²⁶⁾ For a review on polyphosphoric acid, see: Rowlands, D. A. In *Synthetic Reagents*; Wiley: New York, 1985; Vol. 6, p 156.

SCHEME 3. Model Negishi Coupling of Triflate 8 with Commercial Organozinc Reagent 20^{*a*}



^{*a*} Reagents and conditions: (a) Pd(PPh₃)₄, THF (94%). (b) LiOH, THF, MeOH, H₂O 2:1:1 (37–64%). (c) **24a:** PPA, 110 °C, 5 h. **24b:** Me₂C=C(Cl)NMe₂, CH₂Cl₂, ZnCl₂. (d) **24a:** K₂CO₃, Me₂SO₄, acetone, 50 °C, 3 h (<30% over two steps). **24b:** Ac₂O, pyridine, DMAP (80% over two steps). (e) **25b:** CAN, MeCN, and H₂O (65%).

aromatic ring, it was further methylated by reaction with dimethyl sulfate and potassium carbonate in acetone to give ether 24a (<30% over the last two steps).

Unfortunately, attempted selective oxidation of **24a** to produce quinone **25a** using iodobenzene bis-trifluoroacetate gave only intractable mixtures of polar products, presumably resulting from the oxidation of the central aromatic ring of the anthracene system, which according to Behar should be favored under these conditions.²⁷ In consequence, phenol **23** was converted into acetate **24b** under standard conditions (vide infra).²⁸ In contrast and consistent with the Behar studies, ceric ammonium nitrate (CAN) oxidation of acetate **24b** finally afforded the desired quinone **25b** in 65% yield.

Synthesis of Ethers 7a,b. Having established the synthetic strategy for the preparation of the CDE-anthraquinone system of lactonamycin on model compound **25b**, we sought to prepare the tetracyclic ring system **4** with a protected hydroxymethyl side chain attached to the quinone C-ring. This C₁-side chain should allow for elaboration of the highly oxygenated furan–furanone ring system.⁹ The required bromide **7a** was synthesized from triol **27**, which was easily prepared from 4-methoxyphenol (**26**) via double hydromethylation using formaldehyde in the presence of calcium oxide (Scheme 4).²⁹ Ketal formation with dimethoxypropane, *O*-methylation, and acid-promoted cleavage of the acetal group gave diol **28**. Selective methylation of the

SCHEME 4. Synthesis of Bromides 7a,b^a



^{*a*} Reagents and conditions: (a) CaO, CH₂O, H₂O.²⁹ (b) Me₂C(OMe)₂, *p*-TsOH, Me₂CO (93%). (c) MeI, NaH, THF. (d) *p*-TsOH, THF, H₂O (98% over two steps). (e) Me₂SO₄, K₂CO₃, Me₂CO. (f) NBS, Ph₃P, THF (76% over two steps). (g) K₂CO₃, Me₂SO₄, Me₂CO (70%). (h) NaH, 4-MeOC₆H₄-CH₂Br, Bu₄NI, THF:DMF 5:1 (64% based on recovered starting material). (i) PPh₃, CBr₄, THF (73%).

phenol followed by reaction of the benzylic alcohol with *N*-bromosuccinimide and triphenylphosphine gave bromide **7a** (76% over two steps).

In parallel, we decided to examine a more easily cleavable protecting group³⁰ for the benzyl alcohol, and we chose the 4-methoxybenzyl (PMB) group. In contrast to the synthesis of bromide **7a**, a more direct approach was chosen for the introduction of the PMB protecting group. Selective phenolic methylation of triol **27** followed by mono-4-methoxybenzylation gave alcohol **29** (45% over two steps). To avoid double 4-methoxybenzylation, substoichiometric amounts of the base and 4-methoxybenzyl chloride were used, and the recovered starting material was (33%) recycled. The primary alcohol **29** was converted into bromide **7b** by reaction with carbon tetrabromide and triphenylphosphine (73%).

Synthesis of Tetracyclic CDEF Ring Units 33. With the bromides **7a,b** in hand, the Negishi coupling with triflate **8** was investigated (Scheme 5). Bromides **7a,b** (2.2 equiv) were first transformed into the corresponding organozinc reagents by reaction with zinc-dust and dibromoethane and subsequently coupled with triflate **8** (1 equiv) using palladium(0) catalysis.³¹ The coupling products **30a,b** were obtained in excellent yields

⁽²⁷⁾ Kochinov, D. V.; Behar, V. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 1378.

⁽²⁸⁾ In consequence of the poor yield in the polyphosphoric acidmediated Friedel-Crafts acylation of acid 22, phenol 23 was prepared from acid 22 using the Ghosez reagent, analogous to the synthesis of 5a,b. Phenol 23 was directly acetylated to provide the corresponding acetate 24b in superior overall yield (80%).

^{(29) (}a) Moran, W. J.; Schreiber, E. C.; Engel, E.; Behn, D. C.; Yamin, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1952**, 74, 127. (b) Trost, B. M.; Ito, H. PCT Int. Appl. 2002, 68 pp. (c) An alternative procedure for the synthesis of triol **27**: Albedyhl, S.; Averbuch-Pouchet, M. T.; Belle, C.; Krebs, B.; Pierre, J. L.; Saint-Aman, E.; Torelli, S. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2001**, 6, 1457.

⁽³⁰⁾ Green, T. W.; Wuts, P. G. M. Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis, 3rd ed.; Wiley: New York, 1999; 86 ff.

⁽³¹⁾ Shiota, T.; Yamamori, T. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 453.

SCHEME 5. Synthesis of the CDEF-Components of Lactonamycin^a



^{*a*} Reagents and conditions: (a) 7 (2.2 equiv), Zn, (BrCH₂)₂, THF, Pd(PPh₃)₄ (85% for **30a**, 92% for **30b** both based on **8**). (b) LiOH, THF, MeOH, and H₂O (100% for both **6a,b**). (c) see Table 1. (d) Pyridine, Ac₂O, DMAP. (e) CAN, MeCN, H₂O (61% for **33a**, 71% for **33b**).

	TABLE 1.	Intramolecular	Friedel-	Crafts	Acylation	of	Carboxylic Acid	16
--	----------	----------------	----------	--------	-----------	----	-----------------	----

entry	starting material	reagents	product	yield (%)
1	6a	PPA	5a	_
2	6a	$(COCl)_2$ (10 equiv)	5a	13
3	6a	NaH (1.1 equiv), (COCl) ₂ (1.2 equiv)	5a	24^{a}
4	6a	$Me_2C=C(Cl)NMe_2$ (2 equiv)	5a	30
5	6a	Me ₂ C=C(Cl)NMe ₂ (5 equiv), Bi(OTf) ₃ (2 equiv)	5a	-
6	6a	Me ₂ C=C(Cl)NMe ₂ (5 equiv), DMAP (1-5 equiv)	5a	30
7	6a	$Me_2C=C(Cl)NMe_2$ (5 equiv), $FeCl_3$ (2 equiv)	5a	30
8	6a	Me ₂ C=C(Cl)NMe ₂ (5 equiv), ZnCl ₂ (2 equiv)	5a	82
9	6b	$Me_2C=C(Cl)NMe_2$ (5 equiv), $ZnCl_2$ (2 equiv)	5b	92
^a 55% of startin	ng material 6a was recovered.			

(85 and 92%) and directly hydrolyzed with lithium hydroxide to provide carboxylic acids **6a,b** in quantitative yields.

Unfortunately, subsequent intramolecular Friedel-Crafts acylation reaction of acid 6a with polyphosphoric acid resulted in its complete decomposition. We considered that this was probably the result of the acid lability of the benzylic ether entity. We therefore chose to examine more selective conditions for the intramolecular Friedel-Crafts acylation (see Table 1). We found that the tetracycle 5a could be isolated in 13% yield when oxalyl chloride in triflic acid was used to generate the acid chloride 31 (entry 2). Prior conversion of the carboxylic acid **6a** into the sodium salt using sodium hydride, reaction with oxalyl chloride, and cyclization gave the tetracycle 5a (24%) along with recovered starting material 6a (55%) (entry 3). As an alternative, the reaction was carried out under nearly neutral conditions using the Ghosez reagent (1-chloro-N,N,2-trimethyl-1-propylenamine).³² This gave acetate **5a** in slightly improved 30% yield (entry 4). To enhance the rate of formation of the acid chloride **31** and its subsequent intramolecular Friedel– Crafts acylation reaction, the addition of Lewis acids and DMAP was investigated. Whereas the addition of bismuth triflate resulted in decomposition (entry 5), DMAP and iron(III) chloride gave tetracycle **5a** in comparable yields to the unpromoted reaction (entries 6 and 7). Pleasingly, however, reaction of acid **6a** with 1-chloro-N,N,2-trimethyl-1-propylenamine and 2 equiv of zinc chloride finally gave the cyclization product **32**, essentially in quantitative yield, which after direct protection using acetic anhydride and DMAP in pyridine gave acetate **5a** in excellent yield (82%) (entry 8). These optimized reaction conditions also proved to be highly effective for the PMBprotected acid **6b** and gave the desired tetracycle **5b** in excellent yield (92%) (entry 9).

^{(32) (}a) Devos, A.; Remion, J.; Frisque-Hesbain, A. M.; Colens, A.; Ghosez, L. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. **1979**, 1180. (b) Haveaux, B.; Dekoker, A.; Rens, M.; Sidani, A. R.; Toye, J.; Ghosez, L. Org. Synth. **1980**, *59*, 26.

JOC Article

Smooth conversion of acetate **5a** into quinone **33a** (61%) was carried out by oxidation with CAN.²⁷ Again, it is germane to comment on the isolation of a side product in this reaction. As expected, the oxidation of acetate **5a** was accompanied by partial D-ring anthraquinone formation (10%). For the oxidation of the 4-methoxybenzyl-protected acetate **5b**, iodobenzene bis-difluoroacetate was used, since we were concerned that CAN would bring about 4-methoxybenzyl ether cleavage.³⁰ This oxidation reaction gave quinone **33b** in disappointing yield (35%). However, in an act of desperation, the CAN oxidation of **5b** was examined and found to produce quinone **33b** in superior yield (71%). It is appropriate to mention that neither cleavage of the 4-methoxybenzyl protecting group nor oxidation of the central aromatic ring was observed.

Conclusion

The use of a zinc chloride-catalyzed, intramolecular Friedel– Crafts acylation has been shown to be useful for the synthesis of the CDEF ring system of lactonamycin (1). Of particular note is the multigram synthesis of triflate **8**, which was allowed to react using an efficient and high-yielding Negishi coupling with the 4-methoxybenzyl-protected bromide **7b**.

Experimental Section

Dimethyl 2-Hydroxy-4,6-dimethoxy-1,3-benzenedicarboxylate (12).³³ 2-Methylbutene (80 mL, 0.75 mol) and amidosulfonic acid (12.4 g, 127 mmol) were added successively to aldehyde 11 (9.00 g, 37.5 mmol) in THF (280 mL), H₂O (220 mL), and DMSO (22 mL) at 15 °C. NaClO₂ (13.5 g, 0.12 mmol) in H₂O (36 mL) was added dropwise, so that the internal solution temperature remained <30 °C. After 30 min, the reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous Na₂S₂O₃ (150 mL). The colorless solution was extracted with EtOAc (1 \times 500 mL, 5 \times 100 mL), and the combined organic layers were washed with saturated aqueous NH₄-Cl (150 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and rotary evaporated. The residue was dissolved in anhydrous DMF (100 mL), and KHCO₃ (4.51 g, 45.0 mmol) and Me_2SO_4 (3.9 mL, 41 mmol) were added successions sively. After 2 h of stirring at room temperature, saturated aqueous NH₃:saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (1:4, 25 mL) was added, stirring was continued for 30 min, H₂O (350 mL) was added, and the solid was filtered off and washed with H₂O (150 mL). The solid was suspended in EtOAc (60 mL), stirred for 10 min at 50 °C, and precipitated by addition of pentane (60 mL). The solid was filtered off, washed with EtOAc:pentane (1:1, ~100 mL) and pentane to give 12 (8.8 g, 84%) as a white solid. The deep yellow mother liquid was rotary evaporated and recrystallized to give 12 (1.06 g, 10%) as a white solid: IR (film) 3417, 1718, 1621, 1570, 1439, 1417, 1302, 1274, 1109 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 3.88 (s, 6H), 3.85 (s, 6H), 5.95 (s, 1H), 12.31 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ 52.3, 56.0, 86.9, 100.7, 162.3, 163.0, 168.7; MS (Cl, NH₃) m/z 271 [M + H]⁺; HRMS m/z calcd for C₁₂H₁₅O₇ [M + H]⁺ 271.0819, found [M + H]⁺ 271.0818. The spectroscopic data were consistent with those reported in the literature.33

Dimethyl 2-Cyano-4,6-dimethoxy-1,3-benzenedicarboxylate (13). Pyridine (4.1 mL, 50.0 mmol) and Tf₂O (11.5 mL, 43.4 mmol) were added successively with stirring at 0 °C to phenol 12 (9.0 g, 33.4 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (250 mL). After 4 h at room temperature, H₂O (200 mL) was added and the aqueous layer extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (4 × 150 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (150 mL), dried (MgSO₄), rotary evaporated, and crystallized (cyclohexane:EtOAc 2:1) to give the corresponding triflate (12.8 g, 95%) as a white solid: mp 87–90 °C (EtOAc); *R*_f

0.27 (hexanes:EtOAc 1:1); IR (film) 1743, 1727, 1568, 1567, 1400, 1241 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 3.88 (s, 6H), 3.91 (s, 6H), 6.50 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ 52.7, 56.6, 95.2, 110.3, 118.3 (q, J = 318.1 Hz), 144.6, 160.6, 162.9; MS (CI, NH₃)m/z 420 [M + NH₄]⁺; HRMS (CI) m/z calcd for C₁₃H₁₇F₃NO₉S $[M + NH_4]^+$ 420.0576, found $[M + NH_4]^+$ 420.0572. Dppf (5.4 g, 9.8 mmol) and Pd₂dba₃ (2.2 g, 2.5 mmol) were added with stirring to previously degassed triflate (19.8 g, 49.2 mmol) in DMF (150 mL) and heated to 60 °C. Zn(CN)2 (17.3 g, 148 mmol) was added in portions over 6 h and stirring continued overnight at 60 °C. The mixture was cooled to room temperature, when saturated aqueous NH₃:saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (1:9, 600 mL), H₂O (400 mL), and EtOAc (1.5 L) were added, and the mixture was heated to 30-40 °C, giving two clear yellow phases. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3×200 mL), and the combined organic layers were washed with H₂O (2 \times 150 mL) and brine (200 mL), dried (MgSO₄), and rotary evaporated. The dark residue was suspended in CH₂Cl₂ (100 mL), heated at 40 °C, filtrated, and washed with pentane and EtOAc to obtain nitrile 13 (13.1 g, 95%) as a white microcrystalline solid: mp 169–172 °C (pentane); $R_f 0.20$ (hexanes: EtOAc 1:1); IR (film) 2233, 1720, 1576, 1455, 1426, 1328, 1316, 1116, 1066 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) & 3.94 (s, 6H), 3.96 (s, 6H), 6.68 (s, 1H); $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ 53.0, 56.6, 99.5, 112.8, 114.6, 118.7, 160.2, 164.3; MS m/z (CI, NH₃) 297 $[M + NH_4]^+$; HRMS (CI) m/z calcd for $C_{13}H_{17}N_2O_6$ [M + NH_4]⁺ 297.1084, found [M + NH_4]⁺ 297.1087. Anal. Calcd for C₁₃H₁₃NO₆: C, 55.91; H, 4.69; N, 5.02. Found: C, 55.89; H, 4.75; N, 5.01.

Methyl 5,7-Dimethoxy-1-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-isoindole-4-carboxylate (14). PtO₂ (2.5 g, 11 mmol) was added under N₂ to nitrile 13 (3.0 g, 10.7 mmol) in THF (160 mL) and glacial AcOH (80 mL) (Parr apparatus) and heated with stirring to 40-50 °C. After cooling to room temperature under N₂ flow, the apparatus was flushed four times with H_2 and shaken for 6 h under H_2 (70 psi) until a white solid had formed. After having removed the excess of H₂ with N₂, a CH₂Cl₂:MeOH mixture (3:1, 160 mL) was added, and the mixture was heated with stirring until complete dissolution of 14. Pt was allowed to settle and the mixture filtered through a glass microfiber disk. The catalyst was washed with CH2Cl2:MeOH (3:1, 100 mL) and the filtrate rotary evaporated and the residue dissolved in PhMe (100 mL) and re-evaporated. The crude product was combined with material from four separate experiments (from 13 (15.4 g in total) and crystallized from EtOAc and traces of MeOH to obtain lactam 14 (13.0 g, 94%) as a white solid: mp 228-235 °C (pentane); R_f 0.39 (CH₂Cl₂:MeOH 10:1); IR (film) 3354, 1699, 1661, 1596, 1402, 1258 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 3.89 (s, 3H), 4.00 (s, 3H), 4.04 (s, 3H), 4.59 (s, 2H), 6.47 (s, 1H), 7.33 (bs, 1H), 13 C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ 47.0, 51.7, 56.7, 57.5, 94.9, 98.4, 107.6, 151.2, 161.4, 164.8, 165.2; MS (CI, NH₃) m/z 252 [M + H]⁺; HRMS (CI) m/z calcd for C₁₂H₁₄NO₅ $[M + H]^+$ 252.0872, found $[M + H]^+$ 252.0872.

Methyl 5,7-Dimethoxy-2-methyl-1-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-isoindole-4-carboxylate (15). NaH (2.49 g, 62.3 mmol, 60% in mineral oil) was added portionwise at 0 °C to a previously degassed solution of lactam 14 (13.0 g, 51.9 mmol) in DMF (500 mL). After 15 min at room temperature, MeI (3.9 mL, 62.3 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture stirred for 15 min, warmed to room temperature, and stirred for a further 2.5 h. Half-saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (300 mL) and EtOAc (500 mL) were added. The aqueous layer was extracted with CHCl₃ (300 mL) and CHCl₃:*i*PrOH (5:1, 3×100 mL). The combined organic layers were rotary evaporated, and DMF was distilled off under reduced pressure. H₂O (100 mL), saturated aqueous Na₂S₂O₃ (100 mL), and CHCl₃:*i*PrOH (5:1, 600 mL) were added, the mixture was stirred for 30 min, the phases were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with CHCl₃: *i*PrOH (5:1, 2×100 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), rotary evaporated, and crystallized (EtOAc and traces of MeOH) to give lactam 15 (12.5 g, 91%) as a white solid: mp 196–201 °C (pentane); R_f 0.48 (CH₂Cl₂:MeOH 10:1); IR (film)

⁽³³⁾ Smalberger, T. M.; Vleggaar, R.; De Wall, H. L. J. Soc. Afr. Chem. Inst. 1971, 24, 1.

1713, 1672, 1596, 1467, 1431, 1336, 1271, 1219 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 3.11 (s, 3H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 3.97 (s, 3H), 4.01 (s, 3H), 4.47 (s, 2H), 6.43 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ 29.0, 51.7, 53.2, 56.1, 56.6, 95.0, 107.2, 113.8, 148.4, 160.9, 164.2, 165.3, 166.3; MS (CI, NH₃) *m*/*z* 266 [M + H]⁺; HRMS (CI) *m*/*z* calcd for C₁₃H₁₆NO₅ [M + H]⁺ 266.1033, found [M + H]⁺ 266.1028. Anal. Calcd for C₁₃H₁₅NO₅: C, 58.86; H, 5.70; N, 5.28. Found: C, 58.73; H, 5.80; N, 5.26.

Methyl 7-Methoxy-2-methyl-1-oxo-5-trifluoromethanesulfonyloxy-2,3-dihydro-1H-isoindole-4-carboxylate (8). BCl3 (95 mL, 95 mmol, 1.0 M in CH₂Cl₂) was added dropwise with stirring under N_2 to amide 15 (11.5 g, 43.3 mmol) so that the inside temperature remained below -72 °C. After 3 h at -78 °C, 10% aqueous HCl (150 mL) was added and the mixture allowed to warm to room temperature and transferred to 10% aqueous HCl (1.3 L) and CH₂-Cl₂ (500 mL). After stirring for 2 h, the aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 and $CHCl_3$ (3 × 250 mL) and $CHCl_3$:*i*PrOH (5:1, 2 \times 250 mL), and the combined organic layers were washed with brine (300 mL), dried (MgSO₄), rotary evaporated, and crystallized to give the corresponding phenol (8.9 g, 82%) as a white solid: mp 198-203 °C (pentane); Rf 0.44 (CH2Cl2:MeOH 15:1); IR (film) 1713, 1672, 1596, 1467, 1431, 1336, 1271, 1219 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) & 3.14 (s, 3H), 3.97 (s, 3H), 4.00 (s, 3H), 4.47 (s, 2H), 6.47 (s, 1H), 11.42 (s, 1H); 13 C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ 29.0, 52.3, 53.4, 56.2, 99.3, 100.9, 114.0, 147.4, 162.4, 166.4, 167.2, 170.0; MS (CI, NH₃) m/z 252 [M + H]⁺; HRMS (CI) m/z calcd for $C_{12}H_{14}NO_5 [M + H]^+$ 252.0872, found $[M + H]^+$ 252.0871. The phenol (9.5 g, 38.0 mmol) was dissolved with heating in dry CH₂Cl₂ (130 mL). After cooling to room temperature, Et₃N (8.0 mL, 56.0 mmol) and PhNTf₂ (16.3 g, 45.6 mmol) were added. The mixture was heated at reflux for 72 h and cooled to room temperature, when H₂O (80 mL) was added. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (4 × 100 mL), and the combined organic layers were washed with brine (80 mL), dried (MgSO₄), and rotary evaporated. The residue was crystallized from Et₂O to give triflate 8 (11.5 g, 79%) as a white solid. The mother liquid was concentrated and filtered through silica (100 g, EtOAc to EtOAc:MeOH 15:1) to give, after recrystallization, triflate 8 (1.9 g, 13%): mp 152-157 °C (Et₂O); R_f 0.49 (CH₂Cl₂:MeOH 15:1); R_f 0.40 (EtOAc: MeOH 20:1); IR (film) 3061, 2960, 1727, 1694, 1632, 1423, 1287, 1206, 1137 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 3.17 (s, 3H), 3.97 (s, 3H), 4.02 (s, 3H), 4.65 (s, 2H), 6.78 (s, 1H); ¹³C (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ 29.2, 52.4, 53.2, 56.8, 105.9, 114.2 (q, J = 362.9), 120.8, 121.1, 148.6, 151.6, 160.5, 163.1, 164.9; MS (CI, NH₃) m/z 384 $[M + H]^+$; HRMS (CI) m/z calcd for $C_{13}H_{13}NSO_7F_3$ [M +H]⁺ 384.0365, found [M + H]⁺ 384.0367; Anal. Calcd for $C_{13}H_{12}F_{3}$ -NO₇S: C, 40.74; H, 3.16; N, 3.65. Found: C, 40.79; H, 3.14; N, 3.57.

Methyl 5-(2,5-Dimethoxy-3-methoxymethylbenzyl)-7-methoxy-2-methyl-1-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-isoindole-4-carboxylate (30a). Zn dust (3.8 g, 58.2 mmol) was placed in a Schlenk tube and heated in a vacuum. After cooling, the tube was flushed with N₂, THF (2 mL) and 1,2-dibromoethane (0.17 mL, 2.0 mmol) were added, and the mixture was heated to reflux for 3 min and cooled to 0 °C. Bromide 7a (1.6 g, 5.82 mmol) in THF (5 mL) was added within 90 min at 0 °C and the mixture stirred for 1 h at 0 °C. The resultant organozinc compound was added via a filter cannula to the previously freeze-thaw degassed triflate 8 (767 mg, 2.0 mmol) in THF (20 mL) and Pd(PPh₃)₄ (116 mg, 0.1 mmol) at room temperature. After 2.5 h at reflux, saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (10 mL) and H₂O (10 mL) were added, the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (4 \times 25 mL), and the combined organic layers were washed with brine (40 mL), dried (MgSO₄), rotary evaporated, and purified by chromatography (EtOAc to EtOAc:MeOH 15:1) to yield **30a** (730 mg, 85%) as a white solid: mp 108–111 °C (EtOAc); R_f 0.14 (EtOAc); IR (film) 1689, 1596, 1478, 1432, 1277, 1160, 1058, 1009, 858, 734 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 3.31 (s, 3H), 3.42 (s, 3H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 3.69 (s, 3H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.86 (s, 3H),

4.42 (s, 2H), 4.49 (s, 2H), 4.52 (s, 2H), 6.33 (s, 1H), 6.70 (s, 1H), 6.79 (s, 1H); 13 C NMR (CDCl₃, 75.5 MHz) δ 29.0, 34.6, 51.6, 53.3, 55.3, 55.9, 58.3, 61.6, 69.6, 111.7, 113.8, 115.5, 117.3, 119.3, 132.0, 134.6, 146.9, 148.4, 149.9, 155.7, 159.0, 166.26, 166.33; MS (CI, NH₃) m/z 430 [M + H]⁺; HRMS (CI) m/z calcd for C₂₃H₂₇-NO₇H [M + H]⁺ 430.1866, found [M + H]⁺ 430.1866. Anal. Calcd for C₂₃H₂₇NO₇: C, 64.32; H, 6.34; N, 3.26. Found: C, 64.37; H, 6.42; N, 3.26.

5-(2,5-Dimethoxy-3-(methoxymethyl)benzyl)-7-methoxy-2methyl-1-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-isoindole-4-carboxylic Acid (6a). LiOH·H₂O (662 mg, 27.6 mmol) was added at room temperature to methyl ester 30a (663 mg, 1.54 mmol) in THF (14 mL), MeOH (7 mL), and H₂O (7 mL). After stirring for 24 h, saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (6 mL), 2 M HCl (2 mL), and H₂O (5 mL) were added, and the aqueous layer was extracted with CHCl₃:*i*PrOH (4:1, 1 \times 50 mL, 5 \times 30 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (Na₂- SO_4) and rotary evaporated to give crude acid **6a** (660 mg) as a white solid: mp 153–155 °C (CHCl₃:*i*PrOH 4:1); *R*_f 0.15 (CHCl₃: MeOH 10:1); IR (film) 1702, 1655, 1597, 1478, 1422, 1265, 1169, 1061, 895, 737 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 3.14 (s, 3H), 3.43 (s, 3H), 3.68 (2s, 6H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 4.49 (s, 2H), 4.51 (s, 2H), 4.61 (s, 2H), 6.40 (s, 1H), 6.68 (s, 1H), 6.81 (s, 1H), 6.53-6.99 (bs, 1H); $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ NMR (CDCl₃, 75.5 MHz) δ 29.1, 34.6, 53.9, 55.4, 55.8, 58.4, 61.7, 69.6, 111.9, 113.7, 115.9, 116.7, 119.4, 132.0, 134.6, 147.9, 149.8, 150.1, 155.8, 159.5, 166.5, 169.9; MS (CI, NH₃) m/z 416 [M + H]⁺; HRMS (CI) m/z calcd for C₂₂H₂₆NO₇ $[M + H]^+$ 416.1709, found $[M + H]^+$ 416.1706. Anal. Calcd for C₂₂H₂₅NO₇: C, 63.60; H, 6.07; N, 3.37. Found: C, 63.52; H, 6.14; N, 3.27.

11-Acetoxy-8-methoxymethyl-2-methyl-4,7,10-trimethoxy-1,2dihydronaphtho[2,3-e]isoindol-3-one (5a). Me₂C=C(Cl)NMe₂ (32 μ L, 0.24 mmol) was added with stirring to acid **6a** (24 mg, 0.058 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (1.2 mL). After 3 h at room temperature, the deep yellow mixture was cooled to 0 °C, ZnCl₂ in Et₂O (1.0 M; $100 \,\mu\text{L}$) added, and the reaction mixture stirred for 30 min by which time a color change to orange was observed. Pyridine (3 mL), Ac₂O (1 mL), and DMAP (cat.) were added, and after 15 h, the reaction was quenched with H₂O (2 mL) and 5 M HCl (6 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3 \times 15 mL), and the combined organic layers were washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (2 \times 5 mL) and brine (5 mL), dried (MgSO₄), rotary evaporated, and chromatographed (EtOAc to EtOAc:MeOH 15:1) to yield acetate **5a** (21 mg, 82%) as a deep yellow to greenish oil: $R_f 0.44$ (EtOAc: MeOH 10:1); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 2.50 (s, 3H), 3.21 (s, 3H), 3.46 (s, 3H), 3.94 (s, 3H), 3.97 (s, 3H), 4.05 (s, 3H), 4.54 (bs, 1H), 4.68 (s, 2H), 4.82 (bs, 1H), 6.77 (s, 1H), 7.17 (s, 1H), 8.40 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75.5 MHz) δ 21.4, 29.2, 53.4, 55.8, 56.1, 58.4, 62.6, 68.8, 103.9, 105.0, 116.6, 116.9, 118.0, 123.9, 126.3, 129.7, 134.8, 141.5, 143.1, 146.7, 151.6, 154.4, 166.8, 169.5; MS (CI, NH₃) m/z 440 [M + H]⁺ 382, 288, 269, 162; HRMS (CI) m/zcalcd for $C_{24}H_{26}NO_7 [M + H]^+$ 440.1709, found $[M + H]^+$ 440.1705.

11-Acetoxy-4-methoxy-8-methoxymethyl-2-methyl-3,7,10-trioxo-2,3,7,10-tetrahydro-1H-naphtho[2,3-e]isoindole (33a). CAN (94 mg, 0.17 mmol) in H₂O (0.75 mL) was slowly added with stirring to acetate 5a (25 mg, 0.057 mmol) in MeCN (4 mL) at 0 °C. After 10 min, stirring was continued for 20 h at room temperature. H₂O (5 mL) was added and the mixture extracted with EtOAc (3 \times 10 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (10 mL), dried (MgSO₄), rotary evaporated, and chromatographed (EtOAc to EtOAc:MeOH 20:1) to yield quinone **33a** (13 mg, 61%) as a yellow oil: $R_f 0.51$ (EtOAc:MeOH 10:1); IR (film) 1771, 1706, 1659, 1604, 1586, 1456, 1427, 1246, 1181, 1111, 899, 842 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 2.63 (s, 3H), 3.25 (s, 3H), 3.51 (s, 3H), 4.09 (s, 3H), 4.46 (s, 2H), 4.59 (bs, 1H), 4.83 (bs, 1H), 6.97 (s, 1H), 7.29 (s, 1H), 8.39 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃; 75.5 MHz) & 22.2, 29.8, 53.2, 56.7, 59.7, 68.3, 109.0, 117.4, 121.0, 126.0, 126.2, 130.8, 136.8, 139.9, 143.0, 147.8, 148.4, 158.1, 166.1, 169.1, 183.2, 183.9.

Methyl 5-(2,5-Dimethoxy-3-((4-methoxybenzyloxy)methyl)benzyl)-7-methoxy-2-methyl-1-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-isoindole-4carboxylate (30b). Zn dust (2.9 g, 44.0 mmol) was placed in a Schlenk tube and heated in vacuo. After cooling, the tube was flushed with N₂, dry THF (2 mL) followed by dibromoethane (0.17 mL, 2.0 mmol) was added, and the mixture heated to reflux for 3 min. Dry bromide 7b (1.4 g, 4.4 mmol) in THF (5 mL) was added within 90 min at 0 °C and stirring continued for 1 h at 0 °C. The resultant benzylzinc compound was added via filter cannula to triflate 8 (767 mg, 2.0 mmol) in THF (20 mL) (degassed four times by freeze-thaw process) and Pd(PPh₃)₄ (116 mg, 0.1 mmol) at room temperature. After 14 h at reflux, the reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature when saturated aqueous NH₄-Cl (10 mL), aqueous HCl (6 M, 5 mL), and H₂O (10 mL) were added. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (4×25 mL), the combined organic layers were washed with brine (40 mL), dried (MgSO₄), and rotary evaporated. The residue was dissolved in CHCl3 and MeOH and silica gel (8 g) added, the solvents were evaporated, and the residue was chromatographed (CH2Cl2:MeOH 25:1 to 10:1) to yield methyl ester **30b** (980 mg, 92%) as a colorless oil: R_f 0.13 (EtOAc); IR (film) 1689, 1597, 1513, 1465, 1432, 1277, 1252, 1160, 1057; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 3.11 (s, 3H), 3.65 (s, 6H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 4.41 (s, 2H), 4.50 (s, 2H), 4.51 (s, 2H), 4.56 (s, 2H), 6.33 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, 1H), 6.70 (s, 1H), 6.78–6.90 (m, 3H), 7.27 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75.5 MHz) δ 28.9, 34.6, 51.5, 53.2, 55.1, 55.3, 55.8, 61.5, 67.3, 72.6, 112.0, 113.6, 113.7, 115.2, 117.2, 119.2, 129.3, 130.0, 132.1, 134.5, 146.8, 148.3, 149.9, 155.6, 159.0, 159.1, 166.1, 166.2; MS (CI, NH₃) *m*/*z* 536 [M + H]⁺ 444, 430, 414, 400, 154; HRMS (CI) m/z calcd for C₃₀H₃₄NO₈ [M + H]⁺ 536.2284, found $[M + H]^+$ 536.2292.

5-(2,5-Dimethoxy-3-((4-methoxybenzyloxy)methyl)benzyl)-7methoxy-2-methyl-1-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-isoindole-4-carboxylic Acid (6b). LiOH·H₂O (778 mg, 18.1 mmol) was added to methyl ester 30b (970 mg, 1.81 mmol) in THF (16 mL), MeOH (8 mL), and H₂O (8 mL) at 0 °C. After 1 h, the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 15 h. The reaction was guenched by the addition of saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (10 mL), HCl (2 M, 2 mL), and H₂O (5 mL). CHCl₃:*i*PrOH (4:1, 100 mL) was added and the suspension heated to 60 °C for 20 min until two layers had formed. The aqueous layer was extracted with $CHCl_3$ (4 × 100 mL) at 60 °C, and the combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄) and rotary evaporated to give crude acid **6b** (990 mg) as a white solid: mp 101-103 °C (CHCl₃:*i*PrOH 4:1); *R_f* 0.29 (CHCl₃:MeOH 10:1); IR (film) 3148, 1667, 1598, 1424, 1514, 1468, 1249, 1058, 853, 822, 758 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (MeOH-d₄:CDCl₃ 2:1, 300 MHz,) δ 3.12 (s, 3H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 3.78 (s, 6H), 4.46 (s, 2H), 4.51 (s, 2H), 4.55 (s, 2H), 4.60 (s, 2H), 6.54 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, 1H), 6.72 (s, 1H), 6.82 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 6.87 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.27 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, 75.5 MHz,) δ 28.6, 33.6, 52.6, 55.07, 55.13, 55.6, 61.2, 66.4, 71.4, 111.5, 113.7, 115.0, 118.2, 129.3, 130.2, 132.0, 135.3, 145.8, 146.1, 149.6, 155.1, 157.1, 158.8, 165.4, 168.0; MS (FAB) m/z 522, HRMS (FAB) m/z calcd for C₂₉H₃₂- $NO_8 [M + H]^+$ 522.2128, found $[M + H]^+$ 522.2129.

11-Acetoxy-8-((4-methoxybenzyloxy)methyl)-2-methyl-4,7,10trimethoxy-1,2-dihydronaphtho[2,3-*e*]**isoindol-3-one (5b).** Me₂C= C(Cl)NMe₂ (33 μ L, 0.25 mmol) was added dropwise to acid **6b** (26 mg, 0.050 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL). After 3 h, the deep yellow mixture was cooled to 0 °C, ZnCl₂ (100 μ L, 1.0 M in Et₂O) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 15 min, resulting in a color change to orange. Pyridine (1.5 mL), Ac₂O (0.75 mL), and DMAP (6 mg, 0.050 mmol) were added and stirring continued for a further 15 h. H₂O (2 mL) and HCl (6 M, 5 mL) were added, the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3 \times 15 mL), and the combined organic layers were washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (2×5 mL) and brine (5 mL), dried (MgSO₄), and rotary evaporated. The residue was chromatographed (EtOAc to EtOAc: MeOH 15:1) to yield acetate 5b (25 mg, 92%) as a deep yellowgreenish solid: mp 208–212 °C (EtOAc); Rf 0.53 (EtOAc:MeOH 10:1); IR (film) 1768, 1689, 1619, 1513, 1464, 1347, 1248, 1198, 1169, 1059, 883, 823, 733 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 2.52 (s, 3H), 3.23 (s, 3H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 3.97 (s, 3H), 4.06 (s, 3H), 4.56 (s, 3H), 4.30-4.87 (bs, 2H), 4.76 (s, 2H), 6.81 (s, 1H), 6.90 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.20 (s, 1H), 7.32 (d, J = 8.6Hz, 2H), 8.42 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ 21.3, 29.1, 53.2, 55.1, 55.6, 56.0, 62.5, 66.1, 72.1, 104.0, 104.8, 113.7 (2C), 116.4, 116.7, 117.8, 123.6, 126.3, 129.4 (2C), 129.5, 130.0, 134.6, 141.3, 142.9, 146.7, 151.4, 154.2, 159.2, 166.6, 169.4; MS (FAB) m/z 546 [M + H]⁺.

11-Acetoxy-4-methoxy-8-((4-methoxybenzyloxy)methyl)-2methyl-3,7,10-trioxo-2,3,7,10-tetrahydro-1H-naphtho[2,3-e]isoindole (33b). CAN (166 mg, 0.300 mmol) in H₂O (0.14 mL) was slowly added to acetate **5b** (75 mg, 0.14 mmol) in MeCN (5.5 mL) at 0 °C. After 10 min, the yellow mixture was stirred for 20 h at room temperature. $\mathrm{H}_{2}\mathrm{O}$ (5 mL) was added, the mixture was extracted with CHCl₃:*i*PrOH (4:1, 4×10 mL), and the combined organic layers were washed with brine (10 mL), dried (MgSO₄), rotary evaporated, and chromatographed (CHCl₃) to yield quinone 33b (50 mg, 71%) as a yellow/orange solid: mp 260-265 °C (MeOH); R_f 0.55 (EtOAc:MeOH 10:1); IR (film) 1772, 1702, 1657, 1607, 1514, 1428, 1377, 1246, 1165, 1101, 921, 898, 835 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 2.63 (s, 3H,), 3.25 (s, 3H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 4.10 (s, 3H), 4.53 (s, 2H), 4.62 (2s, 2H), 4.47-4.73 (bs, 1H), 4.79-5.03 (bs, 1H), 6.90 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.07 (s, 1H), 7.25 (s, 1H), 7.31 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 8.44 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75.5 MHz,) δ 21.8, 29.4, 52.9, 55.3, 56.3, 65.1, 73.0, 108.6, 113.9, 117.1, 120.6, 125.6, 125.9, 129.4, 130.5, 136.5, 139.4, 142.6, 147.7, 148.0, 157.8, 159.5, 158.1, 165.8, 168.7, 183.0, 183.5; MS (FAB) m/z 516 [M + H]⁺; HRMS (CI) m/z calcd for C₂₉H₂₆NO₈ [M + $H^{+}_{516.1658}$, found $[M + H]^{+}_{516.1655}$. Anal. Calcd for $C_{29}H_{25}$ -NO₈: C, 67.57; H, 4.89; N, 2.72. Found: C, 67.58; H, 4.82; N, 2.69.

Acknowledgment. We thank GlaxoSmithKline for the generous endowment (to A.G.M.B.), the Royal Society and the Wolfson Foundation for a Royal Society Wolfson Research Merit Award (to A.G.M.B.), the Wolfson Foundation for establishing the Wolfson Centre for Organic Chemistry in Medical Sciences at Imperial College, the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council and AstraZeneca for generous support of our studies, FWF for an Erwin Schrödinger Fellowship (E.J.), the European Commission for a Marie Curie Intra-European Fellowship (G.P.), and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft [for a PostDoctoral Fellowship (H.W.)]. We additionally thank Peter R. Haycock and Richard N. Sheppard for the high-resolution NMR spectroscopy.

Supporting Information Available: Additional experimental procedures and structural data for all new compounds, copies of ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra for selected new compounds, and X-ray crystal structure data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org

JO0613378